

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.nepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/798,119	03/11/2004	Yih-Lin Chung	55701-004002	8809		
69713 OCCHIUTI R	69713 7590 12/29/2008 OCCHIUTI ROHLICEK & TSAO, LLP			EXAMINER		
10 FAWCETT	STREET	_	HUGHES, ALICIA R  ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER			
CAMBRIDGE	E, MA 02138					
			1614			
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
			12/29/2008	ELECTRONIC		

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

INFO@ORTPATENT.COM

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/798,119	CHUNG, YIH-LIN		
Examiner	Art Unit		
ALICIA R. HUGHES	1614		

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

IHE	REPLY FILED 10 November 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.
1. 🛛	The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this
	application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the
	application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request
	for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time
	periods;

The period for reply expires months from the mailing date of the final rejection.

b) X The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.

Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).

Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### NOTICE OF APPEAL

2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on . A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).

### **AMENDMENTS**

- 3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because
  - (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
     (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);

  - (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
  - (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.

NOTE: The Applicants have amended claim 1 to omit tumorigenesis. In so doing, Applicants have changed the scope of the invention in a manner that would require an additional search, as tumorigenesis was initially contemplated when the initial search was done and the prior art rejection in the initial Office Action was made in part reliance on tumorigenesis as a condition contemplated by the invention. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).

- The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
- 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
- 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
- 7. 🔯 For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) 🔯 will not be entered, or b) 🗌 will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.

The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:

Claim(s) allowed:

Claim(s) objected to:

Claim(s) rejected: 1.11 and 14-17.

Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 2-4,6-10,12,13 and 18-21.

#### AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE

- 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).
- 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).
- 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.

### REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.

12.	Note the attached	Information	Disclosure	Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)	)

13. Other: \_\_\_

/Raymond J Henley III/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1614

Application No.

Part of Paper No. 20081222

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Samid et al teach a method of treating the cancer with sodium phenylburytate or a biological response modifier concomitantly or in combination with conventional radiotherapy. Additionally, Shufeng et al teach 5.6-dimethylxanthenone-4-acetic acid (DMXAA) as an investigational anti-cancer drug and as a biological response modifier and that while DMXAA drug on does not show "striking anti-tumor activity... preclinical studies of DMXAA-drug combinations indicate that DMXAA may have a potential role in cancer treatment when co-administered with other drugs." As a result, it would have been prima face obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to administer sodium phenylbutyrate in the manner prescribed by Samid, in combination with radiotherapy, as a method of treating tumorigenesis.